

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Morphometric Measurements, Meristic Counts, and Molecular Identification of *Alestes Dentex, Alestes Baremoze, Brycinus Nurse*, and *Brycinus macrolepidotus* from the River Nile at Kreima

Amna T. M. Mohammed^{1,2,*}, Zuheir N. Mahmoud¹ and Hind M. Abushama¹

¹Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, University of Khartoum, Khartoum, Sudan ²Department of Biology, Faculty of Education, University of Kassala, Kassala, Sudan

Abstract:

Introduction:

Twenty-two morphometric characters and seven meristic counts were recorded from 324 Alestes specimens from Kreima at the River Nile.

Materials and Methods:

Specimens collected were identified to the species level as Alestes baremoze (100 specimens), Brycinus nurse (100 specimens), Brycinus macrolepidotus (24 specimens), and Alestes dentex (100 specimens). The principal component analysis showed that the lateral line scales and the scale above the lateral line contributed to the percentage variance by 97.01% and 2.56%, respectively. Discriminant function analysis was done to discriminate between field-collected samples of Alestes sp. The LLS, AFR, and LDFL (Longest of Dorsal Fin Lings) were found to be the main characters that discriminate between the four populations.

Results and Discussion:

The first function showed that they were significantly different. This discrimination was a complete one since 98.1% of original grouped specimens and 97.8% of the cross-validated ones were correctly classified. The scatter plot of Discriminant scores from the three functions showed some relatedness between Alestes baremoze and Alestes dentex.

16S ribosomal RNA primers have been used to identify the species at the molecular level. All species have shown a characteristic band (680 bp) indicating successful amplification. Five RAPD primers have been used to investigate the Alestes species. Similar and dissimilar DNA bands indicate the evolutionary connections and genetic spacing, respectively. The derived dendrograms based on morphometric measurements and meristic counts were closer to that derived from the RAPD PCR results.

Conclusion:

The study showed co-existence of four Alestes species in the main River Nile.

Keywords: Morphometric, Meristic, Alestes, 16srRNA, RAPD PCR, River Nile.

Article History	Received: January 15, 2019	Revised: July 11, 2019	Accepted: July 12, 2019

1. INTRODUCTION

Fishes live almost in all kinds of water ranging from Antarctic waters below freezing to hot springs of more than 40°C in Sahara and perform all their vital functions [1].

The Nilotic fauna is rich and diverse in fish species, with over 300 species falling at least into 54 genera [2]. This diver-

sity is related to the diversification of the habitat of the Nile system itself (natural and man-made lakes, falls, cataracts, swamps, canalization systems, *etc*). In some instances, the deliberate introduction of exogenous species into parts of the Nile system or canalization system contributes to the diversity of fish species [3].

The first systematic account of the freshwater fishes of Sudan was given by Boulenger [4]. Since then, few additions were made. These included *Synodntis khartoumiensis*, reported by Abu-Gideiri [5] and *Labeo meroensis*, given by Moritz [6].

^{*} Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Biology, Faculty of Education, University of Kassala, Kassala, Sudan; Tel: +249912676674; E-mail: amnakrsny@yahoo.com

26 The Open Biology Journal, 2019, Volume 7

The Characiformes, one of the major lineages of in ostariophysan fishes, is widely distributed through freshwaters of major portions of the Americas and Africa [7]. Phylogenetic studies in African Characiformes described a clade consisting of the families *Distichodontidae* and *Citharinidae* [8]; a clade

[7]. The RAPD technique samples the genome in a highly randomized way [9]. In fishes, this technique has been successfully used to supplement systematic and Phylogenetic studies of natural populations, including species and subspecies [10 - 12].

formed by the monotypic family Hepsetidae; and the Alestidae

In his guide to the fishes of the River Nile in the Republic of Sudan [13], Bailey divided the genus *Alestes* into two genera *Alestes* to include (*A. baremoze* and *A. dentex*) and *Brycinus* to include Brycinus (Alestes) nurse and Brycinus (Alestes) macrolepidotus.

The objectives of this study are to revise the systematic status of *Alestes* spp.in Sudan based on samples collected from Kreima site, to verify whether the splitting of the genus *Alestes* into *Alestes* and *Brycinus* genera is correct or not. The approach was based on molecular analyses, morphometric measurements, and meristic counts.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fish samples were randomly collected from the River Nile around Kreima by gill nets of mesh size of 2x2 and 3x3 cm or were purchased from the operating fisher (Plate 1-4). Morphometric measuremens and meristic counts for each sample were recorded (Table 1). Morphological identification is as follows [13 - 16].



Plate (1). Alestes dentex.



Plate (2). Alestes baremoze.



Plate (3). Brycinus nurse.

Morphometric Measurements, Meristic Counts, and Molecular Identification



Plate (4). Brycinus macrolepidotus.

Table 1. Morphometric measurements and measuring devices used in the study.

Character and (Abbreviations)	Description and (Measuring device) *
Total Length, (TL)	Distance from the tip of snout to the posterior tip of the lower lobe of the caudal fin, (MB)
Standard Length, (SL)	Distance from the tip of the snout to the caudal- fin base at articulation, (MB)
Head Length, (HL)	Distance from the tip of the snout to bony posterior margin of the operculum, (V)
Head Width, (HW)	Head width measured at the level of the posterior edge of fontanel, (V)
Snout Length, (SNL)	Distance from the tip of the snout to bony anterior margin of eye, (V)
Eye Diameter, (ED)	Distance between the anterior and posterior border of eye, (V)
Inter Orbital distance, (IOD)	The Minimal distance between orbits, (V).
Body Depth, (BD)	Maximal vertical body depth situated in- between the anterior base of dorsal fin and origin of the pelvic fin, (T).
Body Width, (BW)	The greatest width just posterior to the gill opening, (V)
Pre-pectoral Length, (PPCL)	Distance from the tip of snout to the base of first pectoral-fin ray, (T).
Pre-pelvic Length, (PPVL)	Distance from the tip of snout to the base of first pelvic-fin ray, (T)
Pre-anal Length, (PAL)	Distance from the tip of snout to the base of first anal-fin ray, (T).
Pre-dorsal Length, (PDL)	Distance from the tip of snout to the base of first dorsal-fin ray, (T)
Longest Dorsal-fin ray Length, (LDFL)	Distance between the most anterior and posterior point of dorsal-fin base, (V)
Dorsal-fin Base Length, (DFBL)	Distance between the most anterior and posterior point of dorsal-fin base, (V)
Dorsal-adipose Distance, (DAD)	Distance between the most posterior point of dorsal-fin base and anterior point of dorsal-fin base and anterior point of the adipose-fin base, (V).
Caudal-peduncle Length, (CPL)	The horizontal distance between the most posterior point of the caudal fin at articulation, (V)
Caudal-peduncle Depth, (CPD)	Minimum vertical depth of caudal peduncle, (V).
Anal-fin Base Length, (AFBL)	Distance between the most anterior and posterior point of anal-fin base, (V)
Pelvic fin Length, (PVFL)	From base to the tip of the pelvic fin, (V)
Pectoral fin Length, (PFL)	From base to the tip of the pectoral fin, (V)
Caudal fin Length, (CFL)	From tail base to the tip of the caudal fin, (V)

* MB= Measuring Board; T= Measuring Tape; V= Vernier Caliper

Table 2. Definition of various meristic counts used in the study.

S. No.	Character	Description	Acronym
1	Dorsal fin ray	Number of the dorsal fin rays	DFR
2	Anal fin ray	Number of anal rays	AFR
3	Pectoral Fin Ray	Number of the pectoral Fin rays	PFR
4	Pelvic fin ray	Number of the pelvic Fin rays	PVFR
5	Lateral line scale	Number of scales along the lateral line	LLS
6	Scales above lateral line	Number of scales between the anterior origin of dorsal-fin base and lateral line	SALL
7	Scales below lateral line	Number of scales in an anterior-posterior line between lateral line and ventral midline	SBLL

2.1. Morphometric Measurements:

Morphometric measurements for each sample are recorded in Table 1. Morphological identification followed studies by Bailey, Sandon, Abu Gideiri, and Paugy [13 - 16].

2.2. Meristic Counts

Meristic counts for each sample (Table 2) followed studies by Paugy, Barel, Sneoks, and Ebraheem [16 - 19].

2.3. Identification of *Alestes* and *Brycinus* species using molecular techniques:

Molecular identification of species was made by taking about 3×2 cm of the dorsal fins from each fish sample and preserving it in 70% ethanol at -20°C. Then DNA extraction and detection were performed using potassium acetate method [20] with some modification. Identification of *Alestes* spp. was made using Mitochondrial (rRNA gene) 16s typing 16S ar

Table 3. DNA sequence of random primers used in the study.

(ACG CCT GTT TAT CAA AAA CAT) and 16S br (CCG GTC TGA ACT CAG ATC ACG T) [21]. RAPD profile analyses were evaluated for 23 samples [22]. Sequences of the RAPD primers used are tabulated in Table **3**.

2.4. Data Analysis

Morphometric measurements, meristic counts and ratios indices were performed using one-way ANOVA, the principal component analysis and discriminate analysis in SPSS Version 15. Analyses of RAPD genotyping were made by recording the presence and absence of each band and one-way ANOVA for Discriminate Function Analysis (DFA). Dendrogram of neighbour joining tree, the GenAlEx (Version 6.5) software [23], was used for Shannon analyses to investigate the variation from *Alestes* spp. Genetic relatedness among species and populations were tested by NJ tree and accordingly dendrogram was constructed using PAUP (Version 4.0b10-Microsoft windows) program.

Original Name	Current Symbol	Seq. (5' to 3')				
OPW-05	RAPD 1	GGC GGA TAA G				
OPW-08	RAPD 2	GAC TGC CTC T				
OPW-09	RAPD 3	GTG ACC GAG T				
OPX-17	RAPD 4	GAC ACG GAC C				
OPX-19	RAPD 5	TGG CAA GGC A				

Table 4. Morphometric ratio of 20 morphometric characters of *A.baremoze, A. dentex, B. nurse*, and *B. macrolepidotus* from Kreima using one-way ANOVA.

Ratio	A. baremoze	A. dentex	B. nurse	B. macrolepidotus	P- value
	Mean±SE	Mean±SE	Mean±SE	Mean ±SE	0.000
HW/HL	0.451±0.002	0.474±0.003	0.545±0.004	0.529 ± 0.002	0.000
SNL/HL	0.290±0.001	0.308±0.002	0.317±0.004	0.348 ± 0.006	0.000
ED/HL	0.303±0.001	0.311±0.001	0.339±0.002	0.287±0.006	0.000
IOD/HL	0.329±0.001	0.355±0.002	0.391±0.005	0.483 ± 0.004	0.000
HL/SL	0.178±0.001	0.185±0.005	0.218±0.001	0.221±0.001	0.000
BD/SL	0.223±0.002	0.233±0.001	0.291±0.002	0.236±0.003	0.000
BW/SL	0.092±0.000	0.100±0.000	0.132±0.001	0.130±0.001	0.000
PPCL/SL	0.192±0.001	0.195±0.001	0.229±0.001	0.221±0.001	0.000
PPVL/SL	0.441±0.003	0.457±0.001	0.491±0.002	0.497 ± 0.002	0.000
PAL/SL	0.644 ± 0.004	0.673±0.001	0.758±0.007	0.771±0.006	0.000
PDL/SL	0.488±0.003	0.484±0.001	0.487±0.002	0.577±0.003	0.000
LDFL/SL	0.180±0.001	0.194±0.000	0.227±0.001	0.198 ± 0.002	0.000
DFBL/SL	0.089 ± 0.000	$0.094{\pm}0.000$	0.108±0.000	$0.094{\pm}0.000$	0.000
DAD/SL	0.260±0.002	0.262±0.001	0.259±0.002	$0.186{\pm}0.002$	0.000
CPL/SL	0.159±0.001	0.161±0.000	0.153±0.002	0.141±0.001	0.000
CPD/SL	0.076±0.000	0.082 ± 0.000	0.093±0.001	0.093 ± 0.000	0.000
AFBL/SL	0.220±0.001	0.193±0.000	0.139±0.000	0.141±0.001	0.000
PVFL/SL	0.128±0.000	0.132±0.000	0.167±0.001	0.170±0.001	0.000
PFL/SL	0.155±0.001	0.149±0.000	0.181±0.001	0.204±0.001	0.000
CFL/SL	0.293±0.001	0.299±0.001	0.272±0.001	0.232±0.004	0.000

3. RESULTS

3.1. Morphometric Measurements

A total of 324 *Alestes* specimens were identified morphologically and quantified statistically. A highly statistically significant difference (p=0.000) between all the studied populations (Table 4) was detected by one way ANOVA.

3.2. Meristic Counts

The K independent sample test showed highly statistically significant differences (p<0.000) in AFR, LLS, and SAL; statistically significant differences (p<0.05) in DFR and PFR; and insignificant differences (p<0.05) in PVFR and BELL) (Table **5**).

3.3. Principal Component Analysis

PCA (Table 6) showed one component with Eigenvalue

more than 1 explaining the data with component percentage variance of 97.01%. The component was mostly influenced by the variables measured.

Plotting the Eigenvalues by their respective component number (See plot, Fig. 1) showed that the total of component 1 is 110.47 with 97.01% variance, indicating a sharp decrease from component 1to component 27 with a total variable of 3.00E_005 and 2.63E_005% variance. PCA selected and ranked 11 characters as reliable descriptive of the four species. This included 3 meristic counts out of 7 (LLS, SALL, and AFR) and 8 morphometric measurements (Table 6). The rescaled 27 variables showed 6 meristic counts and 4 morphometric characters with negative coefficients (Table 6). The negative coefficients indicate that there was a negative correlation between the original variables and the component scores.

Box and whisker plots (Fig. 2) showed highly significant variations between the different Alestes species populations (p=0.000).

Table 5. Meristic Data of seven meristic characters of *A. baremoze, A. dentex, B. nurse*, and *B. macrolepidotus* in Kreima using Kruskal-Wallis.

Meristic	A. baremoze		A.dentex		B. nurse		B. macro	olepidotus	P- value
	Median	Range	Median	Range	Median	Range	Median	Range	
DFR	11	11	11	10-12	11	11-12	10	10-11	0.010
AFR	27	22-30	23	20-26	16	15-18	16	15-18	0.000
PFR	13	13-15	13	13-15	13	12-15	14	12-15	0.013
PVFR	9	9	9	9	9	9-10	9	9	0.520
LLS	47	44-51	46	42-50	29	27-32	24	23-23	0.000
SALL	8.5	8.5-9,5	8.5	7.5-9.5	5.5	5.5-6.5	4.5	4.5	0.000
SBLL	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	2.5-3.5	3.5	3.5	0.213



Fig. (1). Scree plot produced by plotting the Eigenvalues with their respective components numbers.



Fig. (2). Box plots of scores of principal component 1 of four populations of Alestes species from Kreima site. Value zero on the Y-axis is the grand centroid (overall mean of the components scores).

Table 6 Principal component analysis (Contribution of 27 variables to the first principal components calculated from 324 specimens of *Alestes* spp. from Kreima site) Relative percentage variance for component 1 was 97.01%.

Box-plot based on scores of principal component 1(Table 6) showed that the scores of characters were in the order B. macrolepidotus>B .nurse > A. dentex > A. baremoze (Fig. 2).

3.4. Cluster Analysis

For better understanding of the differentiation of the study species from Kreima sites, hierarchical cluster analysis of the morphometric measurements and meristic counts, morphometric measurements, meristic counts and of LLS- SALL-AFR- AFBL/SL (Figs. 3-7), respectively were performed to investigate the relationships among the different populations of *Alestes* spp. from Kreima sites.

Cluster analysis showed that *A. baremoze* and *A. dentex* form one cluster whereas, *A. nurse* and *A. macrolepidotus* form another cluster (Figs. **3-6**).

3.5. Discriminant Function Analysis

Canonical discriminant analysis was applied on the morphometrics and meristics data of *Alestes* spp. from Kreima. The analysis was applied to 11 most important characters for

component 1, derived by the PCA (Table 6). The characters were used in bold type (Table 6). When these characters were subjected to discriminant function analysis, three significant functions were derived with 96.7%, 2.9%, and 0.4% and explained by factor 1, factor 2, and factor 3, respectively. The three functions had a p-value (p=0.000) indicates that the group centroids of the four species were highly significantly different. The canonical correlation coefficient of functions 1, 2, and 3 was 0.995, 0.866, and 0.523 in Eigenvalues 99.066, 3.007, and 0.377, respectively, and the related Chi-square=1966.685, 531.969 and 99.590, this indicates a low correlation between the discriminant functions and the original variables. The Chi-square was highly significant (p=0.000; df=33, 20, and 9) in the three functions, respectively, indicating population with definite differences between the four species.

The discriminant functions were calculated from the 11 selected variables and the largest absolute correlation between each variable and any discriminant function are indicated by a (*) in Table 7. The variables that most influenced the separation of the three species were: LLS, SALL, BW, PVFL, and HL in function 1, AFR, AFBL, and PFL in function 2, and LDFL, PPCL, and HW in function 3 (Table 7). While the result showed that function 1 and function 2 depend on the morphometric and meristic characters, function 3 depends only on morphometric characters.

Table 6. Principal component analysis from 324 specimens of Alestes spp. from Kreima site.

No.	Character	Component 1	No. Character		Component 1
1	LLS	-0.997	15	CPD2	0.625
2	SALL	-0.965	16	PPVL2	0.625

Morphometric Measurements, Meristic Counts, and Molecular Identification

(Table 6) contd	·		-		
3	AFR	-0.951	17	CFL2	-0.597
4	AFBL2	-0.934	18	DFBL2	0.591
5	PVFL2	0.860	19	SNL2	0.401
6	BW2	0.846	20	ED2	0.371
7	HL2	0.828	21	DAD2	-0.365
8	PFL2	0.783	22	CPL2	-0.294
9	PPCL2	0.771	23	PDL2	0.289
10	LDFL2	0.745	24	SBLL	-0.093
11	HW2	0.719	25	PFR	-0.076
12	PAL2	0.693	26	PVR	0.057
13	BD2	0.680	27	DFR	-0.056
14	IOD2	0.662			

CASE		0	5	10	15	20	25
Label	Num	+	+	+	+	+	+
Alestes baremoze	1						
Alestes dentex	4						
Brycinus nurse	2						
Brycinus macrolepidotus	3						

Fig. (3). Dendrogram using average linkage (between groups) using morphometric and meristic characters.



Fig. (4). Dendrogram using average linkage (between groups) using morphometric characters.



Fig. (5). Dendrogram using average linkage (between groups) using meristic characters.

This discrimination was a complete one since 98.1% of original grouped specimens and 97.8% of cross-validated ones were correctly classified (Table **8**).

The scatter plot of the canonical discriminant functions

derived from measured characters of four *Alestes* species collected from Kreima site (Fig. 7) showed differentiation between the four species and there were a few relationships between *A.baremoze* and *A.dentex*.



Fig. (6). Dendrogram using average linkage (between groups) using LLS- SALL- AFR- AFBL/SL.



Fig. (7). Scatter plot of canonical discriminant Functions derived from measured characters of four Alestes species collected from Kreima site.

Table 7. Canonical Discriminant Function (CDF) and Standardized Canonical Discriminant Function (SCDF) from discriminant analysis of three *Alestes* species from Kreima site using eleven measured characters.

Character		CDF			SCDF		Loading			
	Function				Function		Function			
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	
LLS	0.413	0.238	-0.086	0.605	0.348	-0.126	0.625*	0.355	-0.035	
SALL	1.217	1.092	-0.484	0.440	0.395	-0.175	0.460*	0.453	-0.136	
BW/SL	-39.338	-3.045	19.001	-0.376	-0.029	0.182	-0.185*	0.123	0.118	
PVFL/SL	-6.871	-4.564	-18.591	-0.071	-0.047	-0.191	-0.177*	-0.019	0.132	
HL/SL	-18.597	5.003	-55.110	-0.217	0.058	-0.644	-0.155*	0.040	-0.024	
AFR	0.367	-0.554	0.345	0.357	-0.540	0.337	0.489	-0.614*	0.378	
AFBL/SL	26.865	-27.349	17.084	0.260	-0.264	0.165	0.349	-0.414*	0.269	
PFL/SL	-4.161	-24.364	-16.218	-0.049	-0.285	-0.190	-0.138	-0.306*	-0.200	
LDFL/SL	-6.748	31.715	73.997	-0.075	0.352	0.822	-0.153	0.401	0.668*	
PPCL/SL	-3.063	6.364	47.580	-0.038	0.079	0.592	-0.131	0.045	0.371*	
HW/SL	-3.940	5.742	-3.577	-0.139	0.202	-0.126	-0.113	0.121	0.148*	

Original	Species			Predicted C	Broup Member	ship	Total
			A.baremoze	A.dentex	B. nurse	B. macrolepidotus	
	A.baremoze	Count	95	5	0	0	100
		%	95	5	0	0	100
	A.dentex	Cont	1	99	0	0	100
		%	1	99	0	0	100
	B. nurse	Count	0	0	0	23	23
		%	0	0	100	0	100
	B. macrolepidotus	Cont	0	0	0	24	24
			0	0	0	100	100
Cross-validated	A.baremoze	Count	95	5	0	0	100
		%	95	5	0	0	100
	A.dentex	Count	1	99	0	0	100
		%	1	99	0	0	100
	B.nurse	Count	0	0	96	1	97
		%	0	0	99	1	100
	B. macrolepidotus	Count	0	0	0	23	23
		%	0	0	0	100	100

Table 8. Leave-one-out cross-validation for three *Alestes* sp. from Kreima by discriminant function analysis using eleven measured characters.

Table 9. Number and size in base pairs (bp), of DNA fragments of polymorphic loci amplified with 5 RAPD primers of *Alestes* populations from Kreima site

Primers	Locus														
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
		Bands (~ bp)													
RAPD1	200	300	400	450	500	550	600	650	750	800	-	-	-	-	-
RAPD2	300	400	450	500	600	700	800	900	1100	1200	-	-	-	-	-
RAPD3	300	400	450	500	550	650	700	750	800	850	900	950	1000	1200	
RAPD4	300	350	400	450	500	550	600	650	700	750	800	850	900	1200	1400
RAPD5	300	350	400	500	550	600	650	750	800	900	950				

3.6. Identification of Alestes Species using Mitochondrial (r RNA gene) 16s Typing

An mtDNA diagnostic fragment of approximately 680 bp was obtained by PCR from the324 specimens of *Alestes* spp. from Kreima sites (Fig. 8).

3.7. Detection of Genetic Variability using RAPD Markers

Amplified DNA fragments by five selected primers have produced a total of 60 polymorphic bands ranging from 200 to 1400bp. RAPD electrophoresis profiles are represented in Fig. (9). The bands/primer were 10 to 15. The band size for RAPD1 ranged from 200 bp to 800 bp, those for both RAPD2 and RAPD3 ranged from 300 bp to 1200 bp. The band size for RAPD4 ranged from 300bp to 1400bp and for RAPD5 ranged from 300 to 950bp (Table 9). The five RAPD primers showed polymorphic bands with percentages of 60%, 50%, 57.14%, 66.67%, and 63.64%, respectively, as represented in Table 10.

3.8. Detection Variation between Populations as Indicated by Shannon Information

The Shannon Information analyzed from RAPD3 banding pattern showed a degree of variation of 98% at a 300bp locus within populations. A 100% variation was obtained with RAPD4 at 600bp locus among populations. The Estimated Probability (EP) in Shannon analysis of RAPD1 showed insignificant variation between *A. baremoze* and *A.dentex* also between *A. nurse* and *A. dentex*. RAPD2 showed insignificant variation between *A. baremoze* and *A. dentex*. RAPD3 showed insignificant variation between *A. baremoze* and *A. nurse* and between *A. baremoze* and *A. dentex*. RAPD3 showed insignificant variation between *A. baremoze* and *A. dentex*. RAPD3 showed insignificant variation between *A. baremoze* and *A. dentex*. RAPD4 and RAPD5 showed extremely highly significant variation between the four species of *Alestes*.



Fig. (8). DNA fingerprint of A.dentex from Kreima site. Using 16S primer MM: DNA Molecular weight marker (Ladder 100bp). Lanes 1-9: A.baremoze. Lane 10: Control negative DNA Sample.



Fig. (9). RAPD profiles of A. macrolepidotus using primer OPW-09 (RAPD3). Individuals from Kreima site. MM: DNA Molecular weight marker (Ladder 100bp). Lane 1: Negative control, Lanes 2-10: A. macrolepidotus Locus.

Table 10.	Polymorphism	with 5 RAPD	primers in .	Alestes species.
				1

Primer	Total Bands	Monomorphic Bands	%	Polymorphic Bands	%
RAPD1	10	4	40	6	60
RAPD2	10	5	50	5	50
RAPD3	14	6	42.86	8	57.14
RAPD4	15	5	33.33	10	66.67
RAPD5	11	4	36.36	7	63.64

Table 11. Chi-sq from Shannon test among the population and within the population

Primer	Among Population	Within Population
RAPD1	5.6 - 24	10.8 - 43.6
RAPD2	5.7 - 43.1	10.0 - 54.4

Morphometric Measurements, Meristic Counts, and Molecular Identification

(Table 11) contd.....

Primer	Among Population	Within Population
RAPD3	1.3 - 41.4	10.0 - 60.3
RAPD4	5.0 - 48.1	0.0 - 26.9
RAPD5	5.0 - 53.7	11.5 - 36.8



Fig. (10). Neighbor-joining tree, obtained from RAPD4markers of Alestes spp. from Kreima site. *The number between the two brackets indicates the number of samples.

3.9. The Phylogenetic Neighbor-joining Tree using RAPD Analysis

The result of five RAPD primers showed the relationship between the four species of *Alestes* collected from Kreima site. The relationships were illustrated by Neighbor-joining tree in PAUP program.

3.9.1. RAPD 1

RAPD 1 presents two main clusters. One cluster contained *A. baremoze* and *A. dentex*. The second cluster with *A. nurse* in one sub-cluster and *A. macrolepidotus* in the other.

3.9.2. RAPD2

The result showed that there is a relationship between *A. baremoze* and *A. macrolepidotus* and between *A. macrolepidotus* and *A.dentex. A. nurse* separated into one cluster.

3.9.3. RAPD3

The result obtained with RAPD3 reveals the relationship between *A. nurse*, *A. baremoze*, and *A.dentex*. One cluster contained *A. macrolepidotus*.

3.9.4. RAPD4

RAPD4 results depict four clusters each one composed of one species of *Alestes* (Fig. **10**).

3.9.5. RAPD5

The result discloses the main cluster contained two subclusters one composed of *A. baremoze* and the second of *A. nurse.* However, there is a relationship between *A. baremoze* and *A.dentex. A. macrolepidotus* is contained in one cluster.

4. DISCUSSION

In this study the morphometric and meristic separated *Alestes* into two genera *Alestes* to include (*A. baremoze* and *A. dentex*) and *Brycinus* to include *Brycinus* (*Alestes*) *nurse* and *Brycinus* (*Alestes*) *macrolepidotus*. This confirmed what was proposed in the previous study [13]. Molecular analysis using OPX-17 (RAPD4) further confirmed this. It was suggested by Géry [24] to separate *Alestidae* as a family different from the *Characidae*. Considering *Alestidae* as a monophyletic group was proposed by Buckup [25] and later confirmed by Calcagnotto *et al.* [26]. The relationships among genera within the need to be resolved [27]. The present study used morphometric measurements, meristic counts and molecular-

based techniques (RAPD-PCR) to characterize, and revises the systematic status for *Alestes* spp. in Kreima site based on samples collected from around Kreima (the Nile proper). The meristic findings in the present study were based on a large number of samples (24 from *B. macrolepidotus*, 100 from each of *A. baremoze*, *A. dentex*, and *B. nurse*) and yielded ranges higher than those reported by Bailey [13] whose work was based on [4]. The work of Boulenger was based on very small sample size (<10). Awad [28] studied seven morphometric characters of *A. baremoze*, *A. dentex* and *A. nurse*, and his data was not comparable with the present finding. His morphometric ratios were LD/BD, IOW/ED, PL/PD. Moreover, he neither studied meristic counts nor applied discriminant analysis.

Analysis of covariance was carried out using One way ANOVA test. It's used to test the variation in the measured morphological characters between all the populations studied. The test showed extremely highly statistically significant differences (p<0.000) between the four studied species (Tables 4). Ebraheem and Hamza [19, 29] used the same method and found highly statistically significant differences (p<0.005) between all the populations in *Anopheles gambiae* and *Oreochromis* spp, respectively.

Morphometric indices of traditional characters were used for identification of fish races and species by many investigators, including Khalil and Mekkawy [30]. PCA was carried out to simplify the analysis of the results. Correlation between the variables and component called loading. In this studied PCA showed two components with Eigenvalue more than 1 explaining the data with components percentage variance of 97.1% and 1.9%, respectively indicating a sharp decrease from component 1to component 27. PCA selected and ranked 11 characters as reliable descriptive of the four species. These included 3 meristic counts (LLS, SALL, and AFR) out of 7 and 8 morphometric measurements (BW, PVFL, HL, AFBL, PFL, LDFL, PPCL, and HW). Hamza [29] showed three-component contributed high Eigenvalue with a percentage variance of 71.3%, 13.8%, and 8.3%.

Box and whisker plots (Fig. 3) showed highly significant variations between the different *Alestes* species populations (p=0.000).

This multivariate data was subject to discriminant analysis to outline parameters that are truly important in sorting out the groups in Kreima site. The CDF showed extremely highly significant (p=0.000). Both methods were used by [19] to investigate *Oreochromis niloticus*, *Sartherodon galilaeus*, and *Tilapia zilli*. They yielded extremely highly significant (p=0.000) differences in the three study sites and highly significant (p= 0.003) differences from one site The CDF selected and ranked LLS, SALL, BW, PVFL, and HL characters as reliable descriptive in Function 1 in [19] study. In a study of three *Epinepheline* spp [31]. found that PRVFL/SL, DEVOFL/SL,DEDCFL/SL,VDOL/HL,VEAOFL/HL,AEVCF L/HL, and AEDCFL/HL out of 22 ratio indices are of discriminative value.

The dendrograms obtained during this study based on morphometrics measurements and meristic counts. All

dendrogram separated *A.nures* and *A.macrolepidotus* in the same clade and *A. baremoze* and *A. dentex* in one clade.

In this, an mtDNA fragment of approximately 680 bp was amplified by PCR from all *Alestes* specimens when the primers 16S rRNA was used. However, a 502bp was obtained in this study. This is in agreement with [25] findings from four African characiform families. This may be due to the difference in location of the 16s rRNA gene in the Sudanese fish population studied compared to other population. Whether this region of the gene 16S rRNA gene can give an indication of the ancestral species needs further study.

According to Buckup [25], we need to verify characiform phylogeny. The taxonomy of the family Alestidae needs to be revised as suggested by Buckup [25].

The DNA RAPD analysis [9] proved to be useful in clarifying the phylogenetic relations with natural population, and in differentiating well-established species [32].

In this study, five DNA RAPD markers were used. (OPW-09) showed 14 bands ranging from 300 bp to 1200 bp and RAPD5 (OPX-19) showed 11 bands ranged from 300 bp to 950 bp. However, in a previous study on *Astyanax altiparanae* RAPD3 (OPW-09) yielded 8 bands ranged from 400 bp to 3000 bp and RAPD5 (OPX-19) yielded 6 bands ranged from 750 bp to 1700 bp [22]. The remaining primers RAPD1 (OPW-05), RAPD2 (OPW-08) and RAPD4 (OPX-17) yielded 10, 10, and 15 bands, respectively.

The result of Estimated Probability of Shannon analysis showed an unpredictable level of intra-specific variation. The low gene flow (0.002 to 0.076/generation) indicates that the four populations studied from Kreima site are not similar, and differentiate. However, previous studies in the Lguacu River (Brazil) on three studied *Astyanax* populations obtained a gene flow range from 2.4 to 4.0 [22].

According to Ebraheem [19], the Shannon diversity index was used to quantify levels of genetic diversity in isolated and inter and intra populations due to its relative non-sensitivity to bias. In the present study, Shannon weighted diversity for all population analyzed by RAPD1 to RAPD5 was ranged from 1.9-2.0 and stand-Divegrance ranged from 0.1-0.4. In a study of three populations of *Astyanax altiparanae* (*Teleostei*, *Characidae*) [22], the Shannon genetic diversity index was 0.58 (\pm 0.15), 0.54 (\pm 0.20), and 0.50 (\pm 0.25).

The results of RAPD analysis using NJ tree showed more overlap between the population and there is no clear clustering in RAPD1, RAPD2, and RAPD3 analyses. However, RAPD4 and RAPD5 analyses illustrate the differences between *Alestes* population.

The results of this study illustrate the great convergence between morphometric and meristic study and virtual technology RAPD with clarity in the study of molecular genetics. RAPD analyses have shown more emphasis on the divergence of the population under study. This further demonstrates that the RAPD technique could be used in taxonomic studies.

Differences in the results of this study and the study of Priol *et al.* [22], which has used the same RAPD primers, were

due to differences in species surveyed.

The RAPD polymorphism could be used as efficient tools for the detection of similarities and phylogenetic relationships of the studied genotypes, which could be useful in the breeding programs, such as assessing the level of genetic diversity and cultivar identity.

CONCLUSION

The study indicated the effectiveness of RAPD markers in detecting the ratio of polymorphism, monomorphism and estimating genetic distance among *Alestes baremoze*, *Alestes dentex*, *Brycinus nurse*, and *Brycinus macrolepidotus* from Kreima at the River Nile, Sudan.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTI-CIPATE

Not applicable.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

Not applicable.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

Not applicable.

FUNDING

We would like to acknowledge the Sudanese Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research for funding the work.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declares no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None.

REFERENCES

- Lagler KF. Bardach, J E; Miller, R R and May Passino, Dora R Ichthyology 2nded. New York: ISBN 1977; p. 528.
- [2] Hammerton D. The River Nile, a case History. (R. T. Oglesby, C. A. Carlson and J. A. McCann 9th eds), River Ecology and Man. Academic Press. N. Y 1972; 171-214.
- [3] Abu Gideiri YB, Mahmoud ZN, Mohamed E. Diversity of Freshwater Fishes of the Sudan. Nile Basin Initiative: Workshop on Wetlands and Biodiversity in Sudan, Khartoum 22/10/2008 2008.
- [4] Boulenger GA. Zoology of Egypt The fishes of the Nile. London: Hugh Rees Ltd. 1907.
- [5] Abu-Gideiri Y B. A new species of Genus Synodontis (Siluroide, Teleostei) Rev Zool Bot Afr LXXV 1967; (1-2): 132-6.
- [6] Moritz T. Description of a new cyprinid species, *Labeo meroensis* n. sp. (Teleostei: Cyprinidae), from the River Nile. Magnolia Press Zootaxa 2007; 1612: 55-62.
 - [http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.1612.1.4]
- Zanata AM, Vari RP. The family Alestidae (Ostariophysi, Characiformes): a phylogenetic analysis of a trans-Atlantic clade. Zool J Linn Soc 2005; 145: 1-144.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2005.00183.x]
- [8] Vari RP. Anatomy, relationships and classiWcation of the

familiesCitharinidae and Distichodontidae (Pisces: Caracoidei). Bull Br Mus Nat Hist Zool 1979; 36(5): 261-344.

- [9] Williams JG, Kubelik AR, Livak KJ, Rafalski JA, Tingey SV. DNA polymorphisms amplified by arbitrary primers are useful as genetic markers. Nucleic Acids Res 1990; 18(22): 6531-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/18.22.6531] [PMID: 1979162]
- [10] Stepien CA, Kocher TD. Molecules and morphology in studies of fish evolution In: Kocher TD and Stepien (eds) Molecular Systematics of Fishes. New York: Academic Press 1997; pp. 1-11. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-012417540-2/50002-6]
- [11] Almeida FS, Pelegrinelli MH, Sodré LMK. RAPD andisoenzyme analysis of genetic variability in three allied species of catfish (Siluriformes: Pimelodidae) from the TibagiRiver, Brazil. J Zool (Lond) 2001; 253: 113-20.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0952836901000103]
- [12] Oliveira A V, Prioli A J, Prioli S M A P, Pavanelli C S, Julio H F Jr, Panarari R S. Diversity and genetic distance in populations of Steindachnerina in the Upper Paraná River floodplain. 2002.
- Bailey RG. (). A guide to the fishes of the river Nile in the republic of Sudan. J Nat Hist 1994; 28: 937-70.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00222939400770501]
- [14] Sandon H. An illustrated guide to the freshwater fishes of the Sudan. London: McCorquodale and Co. 1950.
- [15] Abu Gideiri YB. Fishes of the Sudan. Democratic Republic of Sudan: Khartoum, University Press 1984; p. 122.
- [16] Paugy D, Le've^que C, Teugels G G. The fresh and brackish water fishes of West Africa. Paris, MNHN, Paris, and MRAC, Tervuren: Faune et Flore tropicales 40. IRD 2003.
- [17] Barel CDN, Vanoijen MJP, Wirie F, Wirte-Mass EL. (). Anintroduction to the taxonomy and morphology of the haplochromine cichhlidae from Lake Victoria. Neth J Zool 1977; 27(4): 333-89.
- [18] Sneoks J. The Haplochromine (Teleostie, Cichlidae) of lake Kivu (East Africa). Ann Mus Roy Afr Centr Sc Zool 1994; 270: 221.
- [19] Ebraheem HAH. Morphometrics, Meristics and Molecular characterization of Oreochromis niloticus, Sartherodon galilaeus and Tilapia zilli from the Nile and its Tributaries. M Sc Thesis. Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, University of Khartoum 2012.
- [20] Desalle Rob, Giribet Gonzalo, Wheeler Ward. Techniquest in Molecular Systematics and Evolution. Switzerland: Haftad. Engelska. Birkhäuser Verlag Basel 2002.
- [21] Palumbi SR. Nucleic acids II: the polymerase chain reaction.Molecular Systematics Sinauer Associates Inc, Sunderland, MA, USA1996.
- [22] Prioli SMAP. Prioli, J. A.; Jlio Jr., H. F.; Pavanelli, S. C.; de Oliveira, V. A.; Carrer, H.; Carraro, D. M and Prioli, L. M. Identification of *Astyanax altiparanae* (Teleostei, Characidae) in the Iguaçu River, Brazil, based on mitochondrial DNA and RAPD markers. Brazilian Society of Genetics. Genet Mol Biol 2002; 25(4): 421-30. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1415-47572002000400011]
- [23] Peakall R, Smouse PE. GenAlEx 6.5: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research--an update. Bioinformatics 2012; 28(19): 2537-9.
 - [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts460] [PMID: 22820204]
- [24] Géry J. Characoids of the World. NeptuneCity, New Jersey: TFH Publications 1977.
- [25] Buckup PA. Relationships of the Characidiinae and phylogenyof characiform fishes (Teleostei: Characiformes).Phylogeny and Classification of Neotropical Fishes. Porto Alegre: EDIPUCRS 1998; pp. 193-234.
- [26] Calcagnotto D, Schaefer SA, DeSalle R. Relationships among characiform fishes inferred from analysis of nuclear and mitochondrial gene sequences. Mol Phylogenet Evol 2005; 36(1): 135-53. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2005.01.004] [PMID: 15904862]
- [27] Paugy D, Schaefer SA. The fresh and brackish water fishes of Lower Guinea. West-Central Africa: MNHN, Paris, MRAC Tervuren, IRD Éditions Paris 2007; 1: p. 800.
- [28] Awad HAM. Studies on Characterization of Members of two Fish Genera, Hydrocynus and Alestes, from the White Nile and Lake Nubia. M Sc Thesis. Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, University of Khartoum 2006.
- [29] Hamza AM. Analysis of mosquitoes of Anopheles gambiae species complex (Diptera: Culicidae) using cytological, molecular and morphological techniques in an integrated approach. Ph D Thesis. Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, University of Khartoum 2012.
- [30] Khalil A, Yoakim EG, Mekkawy IAA. Biometric studies on the Nile charcoid fish, *Alestes baremose* from Assiut Vet. Med J 1983; 11:

53-8.

[31] Mekkawy IAA, Mohmmad AS. Morphometric and meristic studies of the three Epinepheline Species: *Cephalopholis argus* (Bloch and Schneider, 1801), *Cephalopholis miniata* (Forsskal, 1775) and *Variola louti* (Forsskal, 1775) from Red Sea, Egypt. J Biol Sci 2011; 11(1): 10-21.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.3923/jbs.2011.10.21]

 [32] Williams JGK, Reiter RS, Young RM, Scolnik PA. Genetic mapping of mutations using phenotypic pools and mapped RAPD markers. Nucleic Acids Res 1993; 21(11): 2697-702. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/21.11.2697] [PMID: 8332466]

© 2019 Mohammed et al.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode). This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.